1	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMA JOHN M. GRENFELL (CA Bar No. 88500)	N LLP	
2	john.grenfell@pillsburylaw.com JACOB R. SORENSEN (CA Bar No. 209134	4)	
3	jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com FUSAE NARA (<i>pro hac vice</i>)		
4	fusae.nara@pillsburylaw.com ANDREW D. LANPHERE (CA Bar No. 19	1479)	
5	andrew.lanphere@pillsburylaw.com 50 Fremont Street	,	
6	San Francisco, CA 94105		
7	Telephone: (415) 983-1000 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200		
8	Attorneys for Defendants SHARP CORPORATION and SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION		
10	[additional parties and counsel listed in signar	ture block]	
11	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14		_	
15	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI MDL No. 1827	
16			
17	This Document Relates To:	STIPULATION REGARDING CLAIMS ASSERTED IN THE	
18	Case No. 3:11-cv-06686 SI	AMENDED AND RESTATED COMPLAINT, AND [RROPOSED]	
19	STATE OF OKLAHOMA,	ORDER	
20	Plaintiff,		
21	v.		
22	AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 6155 Filed 07/13/12 Page 2 of 7

1	WHEREAS, plaintiff State of Oklahoma ("Oklahoma") filed an Amended and			
2	Restated Complaint ("Amended Complaint") in the above-captioned on April 12, 2012;			
3	WHEREAS, Oklahoma and all Defendants that have waived service (the			
4	"Stipulating Defendants") have met and conferred regarding the Stipulating Defendants'			
5	response to the Amended Complaint; and			
6	WHEREAS, Oklahoma and the Stipulating Defendants wish to narrow the issues to			
7	be decided pursuant to a motion to dismiss.			
8	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and			
9	among the undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, Oklahoma, on the one			
10	hand, and the Stipulating Defendants, on the other hand, as follows:			
11	1. Oklahoma does not assert any claims for indirect purchases in the Amended			
12	Complaint under the Sherman Act or the Oklahoma Antitrust Reform Act.			
13	2. Oklahoma does not seek treble damages under the Oklahoma Antitrust			
14	Reform Act as relief in the Third Claim of the Amended Complaint. The Third Claim			
15	encompasses claims brought directly by the State of Oklahoma and not as assignee of any			
16	contract.			
17	3. Oklahoma only asserts claims for unjust enrichment under Oklahoma law in			
18	the Amended Complaint.			
19	4. This stipulation does not constitute a waiver by the Stipulating Defendants			
20	of any substantive or procedural defense or other basis upon which Stipulating Defendants			
21	may move to dismiss.			
22	5. This stipulation is made by the State of Oklahoma based upon presently			
23	known facts and the current status of the law. This stipulation does not constitute a waiver			
24	by the State of Oklahoma of any right to seek leave to amend its claims at a later date to			
25	recover any and all available damages or to pursue any and all available claims and			
26	remedies.			
27				
28				

Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 6155 Filed 07/13/12 Page 3 of 7

1	6.	The Stipulating	g Defendants pl	an to file a motion to dismiss on July 11, 2012
2	to be heard Se	ptember 7, 2012	. The State of C	Oklahoma's opposition shall be due August
3	10, 2012, and	the Stipulating D	Defendants' rep	ly shall be due August 24, 2012.
4				
5	Dated:	July 10, 2012.		
6			PILLSBU	JRY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
7				. GRENFELL R. SORENSEN
8			FUSAE N	JARA V D. LANPHERE
9			50 Fremo	
				eisco, CA 94105
10				
11			Ву:	/s/ Jacob R. Sorensen
12				Jacob R. Sorensen
13			Atto	rneys for Defendants SHARP RPORATION and SHARP ELECTRONICS
14				RPORATION and SHARP ELECTRONICS
15			NOSSAM	
16			50 Califor	OPHER A. NEDEAU (CA Bar No. 81297) rnia Street, 34th Floor
17			Telephon	e: (415) 398-3600
18			Facsimile	: (415) 398-2438
19			By:	/s/ Christopher A. Nedeau
			- J ·	Christopher A. Nedeau
20			Atto	rneys for Defendants
21				OPTRONICS CORPORATION and OPTRONICS CORPORATION AMERICA
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 6155 Filed 07/13/12 Page 4 of 7

1	SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP JAMES G. KREISSMAN (CA Bar No. 206740)
2	HARRISON J. FRAHN IV (CA Bar No. 206822) 2550 Hanover Street
3	Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 251-5000
4	Facsimile: (650) 251-5000
5	
6	By: /s/ Harrison J. Frahn IV Harrison J. Frahn IV
7	
8	Attorneys for Defendants CHIMEI INNOLUX CORPORATION (F/K/A CHI MEI
O	OPTOELECTRONICS CORPORATION), CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS USA, INC., CHI MEI
9	CORPORATION, and CMO JAPAN CO., LTD.
10	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
11	MELVIN R. GOLDMAN (CA Bar No. 34097)
11	STEPHEN P. FRECCERO (CA Bar No. 131093)
12	DEREK F. FORAN (CA Bar No. 224569) 425 Market Street
13	San Francisco, California 94105-2482
13	Telephone: 415.268.7000
14	Facsimile: 415.268.7522
15	By: /s/ Stephen P. Freccero
16	Stephen P. Freccero
	Attamasia for Defendanta EDSON IMACING
17	Attorneys for Defendants EPSON IMAGING DEVICES CORPORATION AND EPSON
18	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.
19	FREITAS TSENG & KAUFMAN LLP
20	ROBERT E. FREITAS (CA Bar No. 80948)
20	JASON S. ANGELL (CA Bar No. 221607) JERRY CHEN (CA Bar No. 229318)
21	100 Marine Parkway, Suite 200
	Redwood Shores, California 94065
22	Telephone: (650) 593-6300 Facsimile: (650) 593-6301
23	
24	By: /s/ Robert E. Freitas Robert E. Freitas
	Robert E. Hertas
25	Attorneys for Defendant HANNSTAR DISPLAY CORPORATION
26	COR ORTHOR
27	
28	

Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 6155 Filed 07/13/12 Page 5 of 7

1	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2	KENT M. ROGER (CA Bar No. 95987) MICHELLE KIM-SZROM (CA Bar No. 252901)
3	One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1126
4	Telephone: (415) 442-1000 Facsimile: (415) 442-1001
5	` '
6	By: /s/ Kent M. Roger
	Kent M. Roger
7	Attorneys for Defendants HITACHI, LTD., HITACHI DISPLAYS, LTD.(N/K/A JAPAN
8	DISPLAY EAST, INC.), and HITACHI ELECTRONIC DEVICES (USA), INC.
9	PAUL HASTINGS LLP
10	Holly A. House (CA Bar No. 136045)
11	Kevin C. McCann (CA Bar No. 120874) 55 Second Street
12	Twenty-Fourth Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-3441
13	Telephone: (415) 856-7000 Facsimile: (415) 856-7100
14	
15	By: /s/ Kevin C. McCann
16	Kevin C. McCann
	Attorneys for Defendants LG DISPLAY CO., LTD. and LG DISPLAY AMERICA, INC.
17	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
18	ROBERT D. WICK (pro hac vice)
19	1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004
20	Telephone: (202) 662-6000 Facsimile: (202) 662-6291
21	
22	By:/s/ Robert D. Wick Robert D. Wick
23	
24	Attorneys for Defendants SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG
25	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.
26	
27	
28	

Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 6155 Filed 07/13/12 Page 6 of 7

1	WHITE & CASE LLP
2	CHRISTOPHER M. CURRAN (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) JOHN H. CHUNG (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
3	MARTIN M. TOTO (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) KRISTEN J. MCAHREN (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
4	1155 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036
5	Telephone: (212) 819-8200 Facsimile: (212) 354-8113
6	
7	By: /s/ John H. Chung John H. Chung
8	Attorneys for Defendants TOSHIBA AMERICA
9	ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS, INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION
10	SYSTEMS, INC., TOSHIBA CORPORATION, and TOSHIBA MOBILE DISPLAY CO., LTD.
11	MCCALLUM, METHVIN & TERRELL, P.C.
12	PHILIP W. MCCALLUM (pro hac vice) ROBERT G. METHVIN, JR. (pro hac vice)
13	JAMES M. TERRELL (pro hac vice) NICHOLAS W. ARMSTRONG (CA Bar No. 270963)
14	2201 Arlington Avenue South Birmingham, AL 35205
15	Telephone: (205) 939-0199 Facsimile: (205) 030-0399
16	By:/s/ James M. Terrell
17	James M. Terrell
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff STATE OF OKLAHOMA
19	<u>ATTESTATION</u> : Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence
20	in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatories thereto.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	Dated:, 2012.
4	
5	Suran Delaton
6	Honorable Susan Illston U.S. District Court Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	